

Relationship between Demographic Characteristics and Leadership Styles on Teachers Job Satisfaction in Primary Schoolskenya: A Case of Nakuru County

Wachira T.¹, Kalai J. M.², Tanui, K. E³

¹Maasai Mara University

²PhD University of Nairobi

³PhD Maasai Mara University

Abstract: *This study explored demographic characteristics and leadership styles to job satisfaction of teachers'. The study was conducted among a random sample of 348 primary school teachers from Nakuru County, Kenya. A researcher developed questionnaire was administered. Descriptive statistics in the form of percentages, means and frequencies were used for analysis and presentation. In addition spearman's coefficient correlation analysis was used to predict relationships between variables. Teacher job satisfaction was found to be influenced by job demands, school resources and work-related relationships. No significant relationship was found between demographic characteristics and teachers' job satisfaction however, academic qualifications were significantly associated to job satisfaction. Recommendations for staffing and resource allocation are given.*

Keywords: Demographic characteristics, Job satisfaction, leadership styles, Work-related relationships

1. Introduction

1.1 Teacher Job Satisfaction and Leadership Styles

Job satisfaction reflects the extent to which an individual likes the job and the organizations with satisfied employees are more productive than those with unsatisfied employees (Hellriegel and Slocum, 2007). Churchill, Ford, Walker (1974) & Daft (2005) conceptualized job satisfaction is composed of factors such as job itself and the work environment. Malik (2013) argues job satisfaction results from the perception of employees' job and the degree to which there is good fit between employees and the organization. Emotional experiences in working life are inevitable. Vecchio (2000) defined job satisfaction as one's feelings and thinking towards his/her work. As in the case of other attitudes, one's attitude towards job is greatly influenced by the experience, especially stressful experiences.

Similarly, an employee's expectations about the job and communications from others can play an important role in a person's level of job satisfaction. McShane and Glinow (2005) believe that job satisfaction represents an employee's evaluation of job and work context. In other words, it is an appraisal of the perceived job characteristics, work environment, and emotional experiences at work, attitude, recognition, level of education and individual expectations (Sonia, 2010). In this context, job satisfaction is the perception of the individual feeling one has to the particular type of job.

According to Malik (2013) people differ in what is important to them, and this may also change for same person. Furthermore, employee may be satisfied with certain dimensions of the job while dissatisfied with others such as, an employee may be satisfied with co-workers but

simultaneously dissatisfied with work-load. In similar situations teachers' in primary schools lack specialization of subjects and hence become dissatisfied with work-load. However, Dawis (2004) believes that job satisfaction can change with time and circumstances. Nevertheless, job satisfaction is a pleasurable or positive emotional state resulting from the appraisal of one's job or job experiences (Nelson and Quick, 2000 and Luthans, 2008). Surprisingly, appraisal of teacher performance in public schools marks a new dispensation of professional development of teachers in Kenya (TSC, 2015).

While Muchinsky (2007) defined job satisfaction as the degree of pleasure an employee derives from his or her job. Hulin and Judge (2003) assert that an employee's affective reaction to a job is based on a comparison of actual outcomes derived from job with those expected. Job satisfaction has been treated both as a general attitude and satisfaction with five specific dimensions of the job including: employee feelings about various aspects of job such as pay, promotion opportunities, work conditions, supervision, organizational practices and relationships with co-workers (Misener et al., 1996; Zerihun, Singh, Geremew, Sabit, Gelashe&Issa, 2015).

Several factors such as pay, promotion, autonomy, working conditions, co-workers, supervisory support influence employee job satisfaction. Irvine and Evans (1995); Nissa (2003); & Malik (2011) have highlighted the importance of work characteristics (routine, autonomy and feedback), how the work role is defined (role conflict and role ambiguity) and work environment (leadership, stress, advancement opportunities and participation) in relation to job satisfaction. To this end, leadership is not only viewed as essential determinant of organizational effectiveness but also for employee job satisfaction.

Regarding the relationship between leadership styles and job satisfaction, various studies were conducted in different parts of the world in different kinds of organizations. Most of the studies showed that there was positive relationship between the two variables. These studies show that there is a positive correlation between leadership and employee job satisfaction (Malik, 2011; Yousef, 2006; Hamidifar, 2009; Omidifar, 2013; Al-Ababneh, 2013; Long, 2014; Bateh&Heyliger, 2014; Josanov-vrgovic&Pavlovic, 2014; Hui, 2013; Metwally, El-Bishbishy&Nawar, 2014; Ali &Dahie, 2015; Fikadu, 2010; Nebial&Asresash, 2011; Shibru&Darshan, 2011). In the research study by Downey et al., 1975; and Kahai et al., 1997 have reported higher level of job satisfaction under directive leadership behavior when task was highly structured and under supportive behavior when task was highly unstructured. While, Kim (2002) identified a positive relationship between participative leadership behavior and employees' job satisfaction.

A leader's goal is to influence people towards the accomplishment of goals Yukl(2010). However, the best way to get teachers to do things and how head teachers go about influencing and relating with their teachers is critical on leadership of head teacher in the field. Nevertheless, an extensive amount of research has been done to investigate leadership style and job satisfaction; especially, effective leadership in order to distinguish different leadership styles that provides organizational practice with supporting theory on how to lead an organization (House, 1971; Burns, 1978; Bass, 1985; Dirks & Ferrin, 2002).

Studies show that factors such as gender, age, education, salary, and experience are found to have influence on job satisfaction of employees. In a study conducted by Ghafoor (2012) the conclusion shows that male staffs are more satisfied as compared to females. Moreover, increase in rank, salary, education and academic qualification result in increased job satisfaction. However, this study distinctly perceives education level is a mark of achievement in employee effort to realize the challenging goals henceforth needs recognition. Sonia (2010) posits that individuals experience job satisfaction at different ages in life, but older employees have lower expectations Sababu (2010). A study by Kimengi (1991) found that older teachers adjust their expectations with reality and report more job satisfaction. However, Sonia (2010) noted that higher level of education is a factor which determines the degree of job satisfaction since educated persons have high expectations from their leaders which remain unsatisfied. Teachers' with higher education express low satisfaction with respect to leadership styles and therefore, goals for promotion, continuous professional improvement and development are not achieved.

Dehaloo (2011) found out those teachers with bachelors and master's degrees are significantly more satisfied with their physical environments and overall school leadership than teachers with low qualifications. This study contends that this lead to goal achievement due to continuous improvement. Furthermore, research has found that educational qualifications have no significant effect on teacher job satisfaction (Badenhorst& George, 2008). Korean school organization is based on a rigid structure where recognition of teachers is through qualification and

training (Joe & Reyes, 2010). However, Oluoch (2006) and Kagoda (2010) noted that effort on access to education do not translate to equitable outcomes in some districts in Tanzania and Uganda respectively. Nevertheless; this can supply employer, policy makers and scholars with information to examine as great number of teachers have received higher academic status despite of inadequate leadership behaviors.

As Path-goal theory focuses on how leaders influence followers' expectations. Robert House, the originator of the theory, proposes a model in which leader behavior is acceptable when employees regard it as a source of satisfaction (Kreitner&Kinicki, 1995). In addition to this, leader behavior is motivational when it eliminates factors that hinder goal accomplishment but provides emotional support to the employees, and grants meaningful recognition in return for success. House claims that the leader should stay on the right path to achieve challenging goals by setting high standards and challenging goals for the employees and encouraging them to perform at their highest level (Northouse, 2013). Drawing from these suggestions, teachers' academic qualifications are successes thus need to be recognized as part of achievement in the right path towards exhibiting goal attainment. Besides, leadership needs to appreciate experience, be impartial on gender and age of employees when allocating tasks.

2. Findings and Discussion

2.1 Gender

Table 1: Gender relationship to job satisfaction and leadership style

Gender	Job Satisfaction			
	Achievement	Directive	Supportive	Participative
Male	0.45	0.639	0.733	0.363
Female	0.439	0.542	0.689	0.359

In all the four correlated variables, males had a higher value, meaning that males are more likely to be satisfied when the head teacher practices the various leadership styles. Studies report inconsistent findings on the role of gender in teacher job satisfaction. Some reveal that job satisfaction levels differ significantly between male and female teachers (Bishay, 1996; Ma & MacMillan, 2001). While a number of studies have found male respondents to report higher job satisfaction than females (Ali et al., 2011) other studies have reported contrary findings (Bishay, 1996). Similarly, (Malik 2013) hypothesized out that the t value (1.210) was not significant meaning that there is no significant difference in job satisfaction of male and female employees. However, male employees had higher mean score than female employees on job satisfaction which corresponds with the findings in this current study. The study by Dehaloo (2011) measured satisfaction and found out male teachers were more satisfied than female teachers with school policies, interpersonal relations and the schools' overall organization. To this end, research shows that gender has no significant relationship with teacher job satisfaction. In a study by Otube (2004) T-test showed no significant differences in the responses of the male and female special education teachers. Similarly, Crossman and Harris (2006) found out that job

satisfaction levels did not differ significantly by gender among secondary school teachers in United Kingdom.

Mugweru(2013) cited ILO International Labour Organization on gender recommended entrenching gender in education policies and plans to ensure parity suggesting that disparities are prevalent along gender lines. However, the situation is contrary since the results in this study indicate majority of male head teachers'71.4% are in leadership positions compared to 28.6% female head teachers'.Consequently,the policy framework needs to berevised to mitigate the gap. Pearson chi-square carriedout by Waters (2013) indicates there is no significant relationship between job satisfaction and gender of teachers. In summary the results indicate that there is no perceived contributing gender factor to job satisfaction of teachers however, thestudy establishes that male teachers' are satisfiedwith leadership styles practicesby head teachers'.

2.2 Age

Table 2: Age relationship to job satisfaction and leadership styles
 Job satisfaction versus

Age Bracket	Achievement	Directive	Supportive	Participative
20-29 years	0.502	0.728	0.782	0.515
30-39 years	0.423	0.57	0.66	0.4
40-49 years	0.437	0.548	0.701	0.393
50-60 years	0.466	0.599	0.75	0.257

Table 2 indicates young teachers between 20 and 29 years had the highest positive correlations in relation to leadership styles. The findings also indicate correlation coefficients of 0.502 satisfied with achievement leadership, 0.728 satisfied with directive leadership, 0.782 satisfied with supportive leadership and 0.515 satisfied with participative leadership. This shows that youthful teachers of 20 to 29 years are more satisfied with their job than older teachers.' This argument assumes a linear relationship between age and job satisfaction, because the higher the teacher's age, the higher the level of job satisfaction. Study conducted among teachers in Finland revealed that there was a strong positive relationship between the teachers' age and job satisfaction (Rasku and Kinnunen, 2003). Additionally, teachers' job satisfaction is linked to their age. This view of foregoing is supported by Bennell&Akyeampong (2007). Furthermore, Bennell et al., notes young Tanzanian teachers' are less satisfied with their jobs than their older counterparts. Contrary findings by Crossman & Harris (2006); George et al., (2008) & Otube (2004) indicate that age has no significant influence on teachers' job satisfaction. However, findings by Waters (2013) indicate contrary results on series of t- test carried out that teachers' age is not a contributing factor to determining job satisfaction. Nonetheless, Mailk (2013) records the t value (-.479) is not significant meaning that there age does not affect job satisfaction of employees. However, old age employees had higher mean score than young age employees on job satisfaction. This is contrary to results in this current study which indicate a lower value scores with all four leadership styles and job satisfaction.

2.3 Academic qualifications

Teachers' level of education and training has been found to significantly predict job satisfaction with some studies arguing that higher educational qualifications are positively correlated with job satisfaction Perrachione(cited in Otanga& Mange 2014).

Table 3: Relationship of Teachers' Qualifications, Job Satisfaction and Leadership Styles
 Job Satisfaction

Qualifications	Achievement	Directive	Supportive	Participative
P1	0.416	0.545	0.539	0.4
Diploma	0.479	0.605	0.772	0.24
Degree	0.401	0.534	0.737	0.452
MED	0.721	0.795	0.786	0.477

The result in Table 3 indicates that teachers with Master of education qualification were more satisfied with achievement, directive and supportive leadership styles. However, supportive leadership style indicates high relationship against teacher qualification. This establishes that qualifications are positively correlated to leadership style. According to the current study, majority of teachers have higher qualification than head teachers' translating to challenges for head teachers' in adequately delivering leadership without the requisite leadership skills and knowledge. In view of these foregoing, head teachers' need to pursue higher qualifications specifically tailored to equip them with leadership and managerial skills. Furthermore, Dehaloo (2011) found out that teachers with bachelor's and master's degrees are significantly more satisfied with their physical environments and overall school organization than teachers with lower qualifications. Consequently, there is a clear policy gap on mandated sources of leadership entry behavior and threshold for leaders in primary schools. Additionally, academic qualifications play great role towards influencing job satisfaction and leadership in organizations (Dehaloo, 2011). This contradicts Malik (2013) hypothesis that there is no significant difference in the job satisfaction of employees having different qualifications.

2.4 Experience

Table 4: Relationship between Experience to Job Satisfaction and Leadership Styles
 Job satisfaction versus

Teaching Experience	Achievement	Directive	Supportive	Participative
< 5 years	0.441	0.579	0.702	0.389
5 – 10 years	0.406	0.69	0.771	0.569
11 – 15 years	0.43	0.665	0.667	0.325
16 – 20 years	0.462	0.491	0.732	0.421
Over 20 years	0.448	0.531	0.703	0.288

Findings in Table 4 majority of respondents with teaching experience of 5-10 years were more satisfied with directive style at 0.690, supportive 0.771, and participative leadership styles. Surprisingly important was that this group has the highest positive correlation with participative leadership style at 0.569 than the other three styles of leadership. This is indicates that active participation in teaching profession is

experienced in the early period of teacher employment. The findings also indicate professional development for acquiring gainful leadership skills can be tapped at this stage.

Nevertheless, TSC appointment to leadership is pegged at the number of years in teaching service (Okumbe, 1999 & Sang, 2010). The study by Mange and Otanga (2014) indicate length of teaching has been found to influence job satisfaction. As with other demographic variables, findings show inconsistencies concerning the direction of relationship, with some indicating that levels of satisfaction increased with the increase of years in the teaching service while others post contradictory positions. However, as teachers grow older, they earn more and also adjust their expectations with reality and consequently report more job satisfaction (Karugu, 1980;&Kimengi, 1991). In contrary, Gatzke (1993) argued that teaching experience is associated with decrease in job satisfaction. Nevertheless, this study notes older and more experienced teachers express significantly less satisfaction with their professional role than their younger and less experienced colleagues indicated as 0.288 for participative style and 0.448 for achievement style. These findings are corroborated in conclusions by Sari (2004) that working experience is associated with less job satisfaction with younger and less experienced teachers having higher levels of job satisfaction. However, teachers' with over 20 years' experience reported a coefficient correlation of 0.288 hence less satisfied with especially participative leadership style. Young teachers in schools with less experience felt more satisfied than older ones with achievement oriented leadership at coefficient correlation power of 0.771. The implication is that years of work experience do not significantly affect teachers' job satisfaction. Malik (2013) asserts that there is no significant difference in the job satisfaction of employees with varying length of experience. However, this study establishes that teachers with over 20 years teaching do not experience job satisfaction in their workplace.

3. Conclusions

The demographic characteristics on gender indicated that males were more satisfied than females despite their smaller ratio. Similarly, males were found to be the majority in leadership positions in schools. The age characteristic indicated younger teachers enjoyed job satisfaction than their older colleagues. Academic qualifications and teaching experience indicated a positive correlation as teachers with masters' level seemed contented. The findings of this report study reveals that respondents with 5-10 years' experience were more satisfied with directive 0.690, supportive 0.771 and participative 0.569 styles of leadership. The findings further noted respondents with over 20 years' experience were less satisfied with participative leadership style at a correlation coefficient of 0.288. Moreover, the study established that headteachers' in primary schools did not receive formal leadership preparation training before their appointment to headship position. Their appointment was on the basis of teaching experience and human relations. To this end, leadership skills were realized on the job. This corresponds with the findings where 66.1% of the head teachers' had experience of over 20 years.

4. Recommendations

The TSC should improve and establish competitive hierarchical structures as majority of teachers in primary schools are continually receiving higher academic qualifications which need to be tapped, utilized for professional growth and development. This would also expand leadership ladder in the school system and enhance job satisfaction among teachers. Improved leadership structures with responsibility would greatly maintain teachers in school and help reduce impact on diverse issues through participation. It would assist in monitoring of performance appraisal for teachers more effectively and efficiently. Similarly, it would assist in averting boycotts as most teachers would be responsibly engaged in leadership roles. This is in accordance to (TSC Act 190b, 2014) that the administrative staff shall continue to undertake such duties as are necessary to ensure the safety and security of school property and to safeguard the welfare of learners. The TSC should consider gender parity by encouraging women to take up leadership positions in schools with the agenda to increase their chances specifically during mid-career period. This is because in this period most women are occupied with family concerns that make them not to have time to pursue some of the requirements that their male colleagues may have hence take the advantage.

References

- [1] Al-Ababneh, M. (2013). Leadership Style of Managers in Five-Star Hotels and its Relationship with Employee's Job Satisfaction. *International Journal of Management & Business Studies*, Vol. 3, Issue 2, 93-98. Retrieved December 20 2014 <<http://www.ijmbs.com>>
- [2] Ali, A., & Dahie, A. (2015). Leadership Style and teacher Job satisfaction: Empirical Survey from Secondary Schools in Somalia. *Research on Humanities and Social Sciences*, Vol. 5, No. 8., 84-96.
- [3] Badenhorst, G., & George, E., L. D. (2008). Job satisfaction among urban secondary schoolteachers in Namibia. *South African Journal of Education*, 28, 135-154.
- [4] Bateh, J., & Heyliger, W. (2014). Academic Administer Leadership Styles and the Impact on Faculty Job Satisfaction. *Journal of Leadership Education*, pp:34-49.
- [5] Bishay, A. (1996). Teacher motivation and job satisfaction: A study employing the experience sampling method. *Journal of Undergraduate Sciences*, 3, 147-154.
- [6] Daft, R.L. (2005). *The Leadership Experience*, Third Edition. Thomson- Southwestern, Vancouver.
- [7] Davis, S., Darling-Hammond, L., LaPointe, M., & Meyerson, D. (2005). *School leadership study: Developing successful principals*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University, Stanford Educational Leadership Institute.
- [8] Dehaloo, G. (2011). The motivation and job satisfaction of secondary school teachers in KwaZulu-Natal: An education management perspective. Unpublished doctoral thesis, University of South Africa.

- [9] De Nobile, J. J., & McCormick, J. (2008). = Job satisfaction of Catholic primary school staff: A Study of biographical differences. *International Journal of Educational Management* 22(2): 135-150.
- [10] Dufuor, R., & Marzano, R. J. (2011). *Leaders of learning: How district, school, and classroom leaders improve student achievement*. Bloomington, IN: Solution Tree Press.
- [11] Fikadu M. (2010). The Relationship between Leadership Style and Staff Job Satisfaction at the College of Education, Addis Ababa University. *The International Journal of Education*, Volume XXX, Number 1.
- [12] George, E., Louw, D. & Badenhorst, G. (2008). Job satisfaction among urban secondary school teachers in Namibia. *South African Journal of Education*, 28, 135-154.
- [13] Grant, C. P. (2011). *Dissertation on the Relationship between Distributed Leadership and Leadership Effectiveness*: North Carolina State University.
- [14] Ghafoor, M. (2012). Role of Demographic Characteristics on Job Satisfaction. *Far east Journal of Psychology and Business*, Vol. 6, No. 1 <http://www.fareastjournals.com>
- [15] House, R. J. (1971). *Path-goal theory of leadership: Lessons, legacy, and a reformulated theory*. *Leadership Quarterly*, vol.7 (1996). pp. 323-352.
- [16] Hui, H. (2013). Principal's Leadership Style and Teacher Job Satisfaction: A Case Study in China. Kuala Lumpur: University of Malaysia, Vol 5, No 4.
- [17] Jabeen, M. (2011). Impact of performance appraisal on employee motivation. *European journal of Business and Management*, 3(4): 197-204.
- [18] Jacobs, G. E. (2010). Dissertation on relationship between distributed leadership as practiced by principals and the organizational commitment of teachers. Georgia, Southern University.
- [19] Joo, Y. H. (2011). *The Structural Analysis of the Effects of Distributed Leadership on Teacher Professionalism*. A dissertation presented to University of Texas, Austin. December, 2011.
- [20] Joe, Y. H., & Reyes, P. (2010). A political analysis of the policy process of the open recruitment system of principals in Korea. *KEDI Journal of Educational Policy*, 7(2), 233-255.
- [21] Jones, G., & George, K. (2011). *Contemporary Management*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- [22] Jong, P.J., & Hartog, D. N. D, (2007), "How leaders influence employees' innovative behavior", *European journal of innovation management*, Vol. 10, pp. 41-64.
- [23] Joyce, B. R., & Calhoun, E. (2010). *Models of professional development: A celebration of educators*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press, Inc.
- [24] Josanov-Vrgovic, I., & Pavlovic, N. (2014). Relationship between the School Principal Leadership Style and Teacher's Job Satisfaction in Serbia. *Monnegrin Journal of Economics*, Vol. 10. No. 1 (July 2014), 43-57
- [25] Kagoda, A. M. (2010). Determinants of Career Professional Development of Female Teachers in Uganda. Empowering women in leadership journal. Retrieved from, www/hst.coehs.unosh.edu www.unosh.edu/posp2004/articles/kagodaon8/5/2011at10.22a.mjournal.
- [26] Kakabadse, N. K., Kakabadse, A. P., & Lee-Davies, L. (2009), "CSR leaders road-map", *Corporate Governance*, Vol. 9, No. 1, pp. 50-7.
- [27] Kelloway, E. K., & Barling, J. (2010). Leadership development as an intervention in occupational health psychology. *Work & Stress*, 24 (3), 260-279.
- [28] Kim, H. S., & Kim, O. H. (2008). Testing a structural modeling on teacher job satisfaction. 2nd
- [29] Korean Educational Longitudinal Study Conference (pp.401-418). Seoul, Korea: KEDI.
- [30] Kmengi, I. N. (1991). Factors determining the commitment and non-commitment of primary school teachers in Keiyo Marakwet and Nyeri Districts. Unpublished PhD thesis, Kenyatta University, Nairobi.
- [31] Kreitner, R., & Knicki, A. (1995). *Organizational behavior*. (3rd ed.) Chicago: Irwin.
- [32] Long, C., Yusof, W., Kowng, T., & Heng, L. (2014). The Impact of Transformational Leadership Style on Job Satisfaction. *Malaysia: IDOSI Publications, World Appl. Sci.*, 29 (1): 117- 124. doi: 10.5829/idosi.wasj.2014.29.01.1521
- [33] Lussier, R. N., & Achua, C. F. (2010). *Leadership*, Fourth Edition, South- Western Cengage Learning: Mason, USA
- [34] Luthans, F. (2008). *Organizational Behavior*. 11th (International Edition). McGraw-Hall rwin
- [35] Maina, J. I. (2014). Influence of head teacher leadership development on implementation of inclusive education in public primary schools in Kiambu County, Kenya. Published Doctor of Philosophy Thesis. University of Nairobi.
- [36] Malik, H. S. (2013). Relationship between Leader Behaviors and Employees' Job Satisfaction: A Path-Goal Approach. *Pak J Commer Soc Sci. Pakistan Journal of Commerce and Social Sciences* 2013, Vol. 7 (1), 209-222
- [37] Martin, R. (2012). *Pathgoal Theory of Leadership Encyclopedia of Group Process and Intergroup Relations*. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications.
- [38] Mat, J. (2008). The influence of leadership style on internal marketing in retailing. Published Doctor of Philosophy thesis, University of Stirling.
- [39] Ma, X., & MacMillan, R. (2001). Influences of work place conditions on teachers' job satisfaction. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 93(1), 39-47.
- [40] Metwally, D. (2014). Transformational Leadership and Job Satisfaction of Egyptian Academics: The Influence of Gender. Processing of the First Middle East Conference on Global Business, Economics, Finance and Banking, <http://www.globalbizresearch.org>.
- [41] Misener, T.R., Haddock, K.S., Gleaton, J.U., & Ajamieh, A.R. (1996). Toward an international measure of job satisfaction. *Nursing Research*, 45, 87-91.
- [42] Mosadegh Rad, A. M., & Yarmohammadian, M. H. 2006. A study of Relationship between managers' leadership style and employees' job satisfaction. *Leadership in Health Services*, Vol. 19, No. 2, pp. xi-xxviii.

- [43] Muchinsky, P.A. (2007). *Psychology Applied to Work: An Introduction to Industrial and Organizational Psychology* (8th ed.) Thomson South-Western.
- [44] Mugweru, W. (2013). Promotion of secondary school teachers by gender, experience and school type, a case in Kenya. *Middle Eastern & African Journal of Educational Research, Issue 6 Year 2013*.
- [45] Murage, W. S., & Kimani, G. N. (2014). School related factors that influence job satisfaction in public secondary schools in Mombasa district, Kenya; *Journal of Educational Research and Behavioral Sciences vol. 3(6)*, pp. 143-147.
- [46] Nathan, J. H., Leslie, A. D., Toshio, M., & Daniel, D. (2011). Searching for Outcomes of Leadership: A 25-Year Review. *Journal of Management (July)*, 1137-1177.
- [47] Nebiat N., & Asresash D. (2013). Relationship between Leadership styles of Nurses Managers and Nurses' Job satisfaction in Jimma University specialized Hospital. *Ethiopian Journal of Health Science Vol. 3, No. 1*, 49-58.
- [48] Northouse, P. G. (2010). *Leadership: Theory and practice* (5th edition). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- [49] Northouse, P. (2013). *Leadership Theory and Practice*. Thousand Oakes: Sage Publications, Inc.
- [50] Ngimbudzi, F.W. (2009). Job satisfaction among secondary school teachers in Tanzania: The Case of Njombe District. Unpublished Master's thesis, University of Jyväskylä, Finland.
- [51] Nyongesa, B.J.M. (2007). *Educational Organizational and Management*. Nairobi: Jomo Kenyatta Foundation.
- [52] Ofuani, F. N. (2010). An analysis of factors affecting job satisfaction of women in paid employment in Benin City. *Edo Journal of Counselling*, 3(1).
- [53] Okumbe, J.A. (2001). *Human Resource Management: An Educational Perspective*. Educational Development and Research Bureau, Nairobi.
- [54] Oluoch, E. T. (2006). Gender Equity: Equal Outcomes. A case of Tanzania Mainland as seen by the Tanzanian Teachers Union. Paper Presented at the Launch of the Norwegian Post Primary Education Program for Africa. Oslo: NEPAD. Retrieved From ezekiellolouoch.com. On 15th August 2010 at 9.30am.
- [55] Omidifar, R. (2013). Leadership Style, organizational Commitment and Job Satisfaction: A Case study on High School Principals in Tehran, Iran. *American Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, Vol.1, No. 4, 263-267
- [56] Otanga, H., & Mange, D. (2014). contribution of personal characteristics and school-context factors to job satisfaction among primary school teachers in coast province, kenya. *International Journal of Education and Research Vol. 2 No. 7 July 2014*.
- [57] Otube, N.W. (2004). Job motivation of teachers educating learners with special needs in four provinces in Kenya. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Hamburg.
- [58] Sababu, B. M. (2010). *Human Resources and Industrial Relations*. Nairobi: Jomo Kenyatta Foundation.
- [59] Sari, H. (2004). An analysis of burnout and job satisfaction among Turkish special school headteachers and teachers, and the factors affecting burnout and job satisfaction. *Educational Studies*, 30, 291-307.
- [60] Schleicher, A. (2012), Ed., *Preparing teachers and developing school leaders for the 21st century: Lessons from around the world*. Paris: OECD Publishing.
- [61] Siringi, S. (2009). T.S.C. Moves Teachers to Higher Job Grades. Daily Nation 6th August 2009. Retrieved from <http://www.allafrica.com>. on 11th July 2010 at 3.20pm.
- [62] Sonia, J. (2010). Organizational Commitment and Job Satisfaction: A Study of Employees in the Information Technology Industry in Bangalore, India. Dissertation submitted in Christ University, Bangalore.
- [63] Speck, M., & Knipe C. (2005). *Why can't we get it right? Designing high-quality professional development for standard-based schools* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- [64] Strydom, L., Nortjé, N., Beukes, R., Esterhuyse, K., & van der Westhuizen, J. (2012). Job satisfaction amongst teachers at special needs schools. *South African Journal of Education*, 32, 255-266.
- [65] Teachers Service Commission of Kenya, Code of Regulations for Teachers (2015).
- [66] Waters, K. K. (2013). The relationship between principals' leadership style and job satisfaction as perceived by primary school teachers across NSW independent schools published Doctor of Philosophy thesis, Faculty of Education, University of Wollongong. <http://ro.uow.edu.au/theses/4074> retrieved on April, 2016.
- [67] Yousef, D. A. (2000), "Organizational commitment: A mediator of the relationships of leadership behavior with job satisfaction and performance in a non-western country", *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, Vol. 15, No. 1, pp. 6-24.
- [68] Yukl, G. (2010). *Leadership in organizations* (7th edition). Upper Saddle River, New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- [69] Yun, T. (2007). Leadership and teamwork: the effects of leadership and job satisfaction on team citizenship, *International Journal of Leadership studies*, vol. 22, Issue 3, 171-193.
- [70] Zerihun A., Singh J. S., Geremew, M., Sabit, J., Gelashe, U., & Issa, I. (2015). The Organizational Climate Factors that could Predict the Job Satisfaction of Academic Staff of the Western Ethiopia Public Universities,. *Zenith International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, Vol. 5(4), pp: 59-69.