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## SCHOOL OF BUSINESS AND ECONOMICS BSC. ECONOMICS AND BSC. FINANCIAL ECONOMICS

## COURSE CODE: ECO 4103-1 COURSE TITLE: ECONOMETRICS I

INSTRUCTIONS TO CANDIDATES

1. Answer Question One and any other Twoquestions.

## Question One

The yield of wheat is influenced by the amount of rainfall and average temperature at a given cropping season. Estimates of the relationships between yield and the conditioning factors over the last 8 years in Kenya revealed the following:

Y - yield, $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ - rainfall and $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ - temperature.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\bar{Y}=65 & \sum y^{2}=700 & \sum y x_{1}=20 \\
\overline{X_{1}}=19 & \sum x_{1}^{2}=18 & \sum y x_{2}=225 \\
\overline{X_{2}}=52 & \sum x_{2}^{2}=180 & \sum x_{1} x_{2}=-33
\end{array}
$$

a) Fit an OLS equation for the relationship between Yield and conditioning factors
(4 marks).
b) Interpret the relevance of the estimated results in (i) above considering the a priori expectations based on economic theory (4 marks).
c) Compute the multiple correlation coefficient and interpret your results (2 marks).
d) Compute the adjusted $R^{2}$ (4 marks).
e) Construct the ANOVA table for this data
(4 marks).
f) Test for the joint significance of the partial coefficient assuming a 5\% level of significance.
(2 marks)

## Question Two

The following table contains test scores (TS) and the corresponding mean grade (MG) for 8 Maasai Mara University students. Mean grade (MG) is placed on a 4-point scale and has been rounded to one digit after the decimal. It is hypothesized that the MG (Y) is based on the TS (X).

| Students S/No | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TS (X) | 21 | 24 | 26 | 27 | 29 | 25 | 25 | 30 |
| MG (Y) | 2.8 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 3.7 |

a) Estimate the relationship between mean grade (MG) and total score (TS) using the Classical Linear Regression Model
(6 marks).
b) Interpret your results and comment on the direction of the relationship. Does the intercept have a useful interpretation here? Why?
(4 marks).
c) On average how elastic is mean grade (MG) with respect to total score (TS) (2 marks).
d) Compute the fitted values and residuals for each observation/student and verify that the residuals approximately sum to zero.
(3 marks)

## Question Three

Discuss the following terms as used in econometrics based on the following criteria; Definition, tests, causes, consequences and solutions.
a) Autocorrelation
b) Heteroscedasticity (5 marks)
c) Multicollinearity

## Question Four

The following table shows results from a regression of log wages on a dummy for whether a job has pay linked to performance (e.g. salespeople paid on commission) and other variables. The data are panel data on workers. In addition to the reported coefficients, the regressions include industry, occupation, and year dummies; county unemployment; and maritalstatus, race dummies, and union status. Standard errors are in parentheses. The model also includes quadratic functions of experience (number of years in the workforce) and tenure (number of years at this specific job). The row labeled "Experience $x$ performance pay" is the effect of experience at 20 years interacted with performance pay. Similarly, the row labeled "Tenure x performance pay" is the effect of tenure (evaluated at ten years) interacted with performance pay.
a) Based on column (3), is the return to education higher at performance pay jobs or nonperformance pay jobs? What is the difference and is it statistically significant? Prove.
(5 marks)
b) Again using column (3), what is the return to having a performance pay job for somebody with a college degree ( 16 years of education), 20 years of experience, and 10 years of tenure?
(5 marks)
c) Regression (4) includes worker-level fixed effects. The coefficient on years of education fallsfrom 0.0637 in (3) to 0.0167 in (4). Is this a large change in economic terms? Explain.
(5 marks)

TABLE IV
Skills-Related Wage Differentials and Performance-Pay (PP) Jobs

| Estimation method | Sample |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PP jobs OLS <br> (1) | Non-PP jobs OLS (2) | All jobs |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | OLS <br> (3) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{FE} \\ & \text { (4) } \end{aligned}$ | OLS <br> (5) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FE } \\ & (6) \end{aligned}$ |
| Performance-pay job dummy | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & -0.4526 \\ & (0.1019) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.2061 \\ (0.0723) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.2406 \\ & (0.1251) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1414 \\ (0.0998) \end{gathered}$ |
| Years of education | $\begin{gathered} 0.0929 \\ (0.0071) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0665 \\ (0.0039) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0637 \\ (0.0040) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0167 \\ (0.0091) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0584 \\ (0.0047) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0040 \\ (0.0096) \end{gathered}$ |
| Education $\times$ performance-pay job | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.0365 \\ (0.0071) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0169 \\ (0.0048) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0217 \\ (0.0092) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0079 \\ (0.0071) \end{gathered}$ |
| Education $\times$ 1990-1993 | - | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.0161 \\ (0.0085) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0222 \\ (0.0056) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Education } \times \text { performance-pay job } \\ & \times 1990-1993 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.0190 \\ (0.0137) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0280 \\ (0.0089) \end{gathered}$ |
| Potential experience (effect at 20 years) | $\begin{gathered} 0.4259 \\ (0.0535) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2882 \\ (0.0288) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.3010 \\ (0.0294) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.4545 \\ (0.1258) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.3002 \\ (0.0294) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.4231 \\ (0.1256) \end{gathered}$ |
| Experience $\times$ performance-pay job | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.1162 \\ (0.0584) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0149 \\ (0.0501) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1018 \\ (0.0581) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0278 \\ (0.0509) \end{gathered}$ |
| Tenure (effect at ten years) | $\begin{gathered} 0.1670 \\ (0.0268) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2197 \\ (0.0154) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2262 \\ (0.0154) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1158 \\ (0.0129) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2271 \\ (0.0154) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1191 \\ (0.0129) \end{gathered}$ |
| Tenure $\times$ performance-pay job | - | - | $\begin{gathered} -0.0666 \\ (0.0301) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0278 \\ (0.0237) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0677 \\ & (0.0303) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0196 \\ (0.0239) \end{gathered}$ |
| Number of observations | 9,680 | 16,466 | 26,146 | 26,146 | 26,146 | 26,146 |

