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INSTRUCTIONS TO CANDIDATES
Answer Question ONE and any other THREE questions

## QUESTION ONE (25 MARKS)

a) The assumptions underlying the classical linear regression model are:
A. 1 Linearity
A. $2 \quad$ Full rank: $\operatorname{rank}(X)=k$
A. 3 Errors have zero mean: $E(\varepsilon)=0$
A. 4 Spherical errors
A. 5 The process that generates X is unrelated to the process that generates A. 6 Normality of errors

Under these assumptions, estimation of the linear model by OLS is sensible.
Estimation of the variance of $\mathbf{b}$ by $s^{2}\left(X^{\prime} X\right)^{-1}$ is also sensible. For each of the assumptions listed above, explain:
(i) how the assumption might be violated
[3 Marks]
(ii) The implications for estimating the model by OLS
[3 Marks]
(iii) How the problem might be corrected, or how an alternative estimator might be used to correct the problem (if possible).
[4 Marks]
b) A researcher is considering two regression specifications to estimate the relationship between a variable X and Y ,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\log Y=\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \log X+U & \text { (Equation 1) } \\
\log \frac{X}{Y}=\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2} \log X+V & \text { (Equation 2) }
\end{array}
$$

Where the Greek letters refer to parameters and $X$ and $Y$ are two random, variables, which we have a random sample of, size $n$.
i. Determine whether (Equation2) can be represented as a restricted version of (Equation1)
[3 Marks]
ii. Using the same $n$ observations of variable $X$ and $Y$, the researcher fits two specifications using ordinary least squares (OLS). The fits are:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\widehat{\log Y} & =\hat{\beta}_{1}+\hat{\beta}_{2} \log X  \tag{Equation3}\\
\log \frac{X}{Y} & =\hat{\alpha}_{1}+\hat{\alpha}_{2} \log X
\end{array} \quad(\text { Equation 3) }
$$

Using the expressions for the estimates write $\hat{\beta}_{2}$ in terms of $\hat{\alpha}_{2}$, Write $\hat{\beta}_{1}$ in terms of $\hat{\alpha}_{1}$ [2 Marks]
iii. Demonstrate that $\widehat{\log Y}-\log X=\widehat{\log \frac{X}{Y}}$
[3 Marks]
iv. Demonstrate that the residuals in (Equation 3) are identical to those in (Equation 4)
[2 Marks]
v. Determine the relationship between the t statistic using $\hat{\beta}_{2}$ and the t statistic using $\hat{\alpha}_{2}$
[3 Marks]
vi. Explain with detailed arguments whether $R^{2}$ would be the same in the two regressions.
[3 Marks]

## QUESTION TWO (15 MARKS)

a) Consider the following model:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{Y}_{i}=-0.261-2.306 D_{2 i}-1.7327 D_{3 i}+2.1289 D_{2} D_{3 i}+0.8028 X_{i} \\
& \mathrm{t}=(-0.2357)(-5.4873)^{*}(-2.1803)^{*}(9.9094)^{*} \\
& \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.2032, \mathrm{n}=528, \alpha=0.05 \\
& \rightarrow \text { indicate } \mathrm{P} \text { value is less than } 0.05
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}} \rightarrow$ hourly wage in dollar
$\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \quad$ education (Years if schooling)
$D_{2}=1$ if female, 0 if male
$\mathrm{D}_{3}=1$ if non-white and non-Hispanic $=0$ if otherwise
Interpret these results
b) The following are the daily stock prices of a company listed at the Nairobi Stock Exchange during the month of September 2017
$12,16,14,17,19,15,11,19,23,15,16,18,16,24,10,20,15,24,15,15,16$
i. Compute the sample mean, variance, skewness, excess kurtosis, and minimum and maximum of the daily simple returns
[3 Marks]
ii. Compute the daily log returns $r t$
[2 Marks]
iii. Compute the sample mean, variance, skewness, excess kurtosis, and minimum and maximum of the daily log returns
[3 Marks]
iv. Perform the Jarque and Bera test on the normality of $r t$
[2 Marks]

## QUESTION THREE (15 MARKS)

a) Write the functional form of $E\left(y_{i} / x_{i}, \beta\right)$, the conditional mean function, that is used in each of the following
i. Probit model
[2 Marks]
ii. Logit model
[2 Marks]
b) For the logit model, derive the marginal effect, or partial derivative $\partial E\left(y_{i} / x_{i}, \beta\right) / \partial x_{i j}$, where $x_{i j}$, is the $j^{\text {th }}$ element of the $x_{i}$ vector[4 Marks]
c) Suppose logit model estimation produces the following table

| Variable <br> Name | Estimated <br> Coefficient | Standard <br> Error | Asymptotic <br> T-Ratio |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| X1 | 3.8 | 1.7 | 2.2 |
| X2 | -1.6 | 0.54 | -3.0 |
| Constant | -4.2 | 2.3 | -1.8 |

i. What is the predicted probability that $y=1$ when $x_{1}=2$ and $x_{2}=0.5$ ?
[2 Marks]
ii. Compute the change in the predicted probability when $x_{2}$ increases by one unit from $x_{2}=0.5$ to $x_{2}=1.5$, holding $x_{1}$ at $x_{1}=2$
iii. Using the derivative result from part (a) and the estimates of the above table, compute the partial derivative $\partial E\left(y / x_{1}, x_{2}, \beta\right) / \partial x_{2}$, at the $x$ value given in part (i)
iv. Comment on the difference between the answers to (ii) and (iii)

## QUESTION FOUR (15 MARKS)

The following model has been developed for studying the relationship of the GDP with interest rate, inflation and exchange rate.

$$
G D P_{\mathrm{i}}=a_{0}+a_{I N T} I N T+a_{I N F} I N F+a_{E X R} E X R+\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}
$$

In the above model GDP has been taken as a dependent variable whereas, interest rate, inflation and exchange rate has been included as an independent variables.

- GDP is the Gross Domestic Product of Pakistan which has been converted into real form by using financial year 1976 as a base period and log of it has been taken.
- INT is the nominal discount rate. It is used into real form after adjusting it for inflation.
- INF is the inflation rate of Pakistan which is shows the annual percentage change in consumer price index
- EXR is the nominal exchange rate of Pakistan rupee against US dollar.

Table. 1.1: Regressions Results
Dependent Variable : GDP
Method : Least Squares
Sample : 1973-2008

## Variable

| Coefficient |  | t-stat prob. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EX | 2.04* | 6.25 | 0.00 |  |
|  | (0.32) |  |  |  |
| IF | 1.89* | 3.56 | 0.00 |  |
|  | (0.53) |  |  |  |
| R | 0.11* | 3.08 | 0.01 |  |
|  | (0.04) |  |  |  |
| C | 4.50* | 2.57 | 0.01 |  |
|  | (1.75) |  |  |  |
| R2 | 0.67 | Akaik I | fo Criterion | 2.66 |
| Adjusted R2 | 0.64 | Schwar | Criterion | 2.84 |
| F-Stat | 21.56 | Durbin | Watson Stat | 0.78 |
| Prob (F-Stat) | 0.00 |  |  |  |

1. "*" shows $5 \%$ level of significance
2. A rise in exchange rate means devaluation
3. Figures in parenthesis shows SE of the estimates
a) Comment on the use and meaning of the following statistics from the table above

| i. | R-squared | [2 Marks] |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| ii. | The Akaike information and Schwarz criteria | [3 Marks] |
| iii. | The F statistics and Durbin Watson | [3 Marks] |

b) For examining the serial correlation in data, and confirmation of the above results Correlogram, Q-Statistics, Correlogram squared Residuals and Breusch- Godfrey Serial Correlation LM test are used given in figures 1.2, and 1.3 respectively.

Figure 1.2: Correlogram test results


| 1989 | 13.5108 | 13.5909 | -0.08019 | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 13.6169 | 14.4305 | -0.81361 | * \| |
| 1991 | 13.7928 | 13.3300 | 0.46284 | \|* |
| 1992 | 13.9642 | 12.9864 | 0.97783 | . \| * |
| 1993 | 14.0663 | 13.3507 | 0.71566 | *. |
| 1994 | 14.2255 | 13.5224 | 0.70305 | *. |
| 1995 | 14.4048 | 13.8966 | 0.50826 | \|* |
| 1996 | 14.5341 | 14.9232 | -0.38907 | * |
| 1997 | 14.6715 | 14.2990 | 0.37251 | \|* |
| 1998 | 14.7574 | 13.8803 | 0.87707 | . \| * |
| 1999 | 14.8503 | 14.7203 | 0.13005 | . ${ }^{*}$ |
| 2000 | 15.1143 | 16.1517 | -1.03737 | *. \| |
| 2001 | 15.2099 | 15.4278 | -0.21788 | . *\| |
| 2002 | 15.2660 | 14.0209 | 1.24506 | * |
| 2003 | 15.3567 | 14.7712 | 0.58546 | * |
| 2004 | 15.5024 | 14.9553 | 0.54716 | * |
| 2005 | 15.6442 | 14.9959 | 0.64830 | *. |
| 2006 | 15.8036 | 15.1651 | 0.63851 | . \|* |
| 2007 | 15.9327 | 14.9947 | 0.93796 | * |
| 2008 | 16.1031 | 15.3764 | 0.72672 | *. |

Figure. 1.3: Correlogram Q-Statistics Results

| Autocorrelation | Partial Correlation | AC | PAC | Q-Stat | Prob |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| . ${ }^{* * * * * \mid}$ | . ${ }^{* * * *}$ |  | 1 | 0.561 | 0.561 | 12.315 | 0.000 |
| .\|** | | .*. |  | 2 | 0.242 | -0.107 | 14.665 | 0.001 |
| . \|** | . ${ }^{*}$. \| |  | 3 | 0.233 | 0.210 | 16.908 | 0.001 |
| . \|*. | .*\|. |  | 4 | 0.101 | -0.169 | 17.343 | 0.002 |
| .\|*. | | \|*. | |  | 5 | 0.085 | 0.157 | 17.660 | 0.003 |
| . ${ }^{*}$. | . 1. |  | 6 | 0.110 | -0.038 | 18.208 | 0.006 |
| .\|. | | .*\| | |  | 7 | 0.003 | -0.067 | 18.209 | 0.011 |
| . 1. | . ${ }^{*}$. |  | 8 | 0.059 | 0.143 | 18.380 | 0.019 |
| . \|*. | | .1. \| |  | 9 | 0.143 | 0.033 | 19.416 | 0.022 |
| . \|*. | . ${ }^{*}$. |  | 10 | 0.152 | 0.113 | 20.629 | 0.024 |
| .\|*. | | \|. |  | 11 | 0.139 | -0.046 | 21.685 | 0.027 |
| . 1. | .*. |  | 12 | -0.027 | -0.195 | 21.726 | 0.041 |
| .*\| | | .\|. | |  | 13 | -0.148 | -0.063 | 23.035 | 0.041 |
| .*\|. | .*) |  | 14 | -0.145 | -0.090 | 24.338 | 0.042 |
| .*\| | | .\|. | |  | 15 | -0.138 | 0.023 | 25.582 | 0.043 |
| . 1. | . ${ }^{*}$. |  | 16 | -0.025 | 0.144 | 25.626 | 0.060 |

Figure. 1.4: Correlogram Squared Residuals

| Autocorrelation | Partial Correlation | AC | PAC | Q-Stat | Prob |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| . ${ }^{* * *} \mid$ | . ${ }^{* * *}$ \| |  | 1 | 0.415 | 0.415 | 6.7443 | 0.009 |
| . ${ }^{*}$. | . ${ }^{1}$. |  | 2 | 0.077 | -0.115 | 6.9858 | 0.030 |
| **\|. | | **\| | |  | 3 | -0.216 | -0.250 | 8.9171 | 0.030 |
| **. | . 1. |  | 4 | -0.216 | -0.024 | 10.913 | 0.028 |
| **\| | | .*\| | |  | 5 | -0.207 | -0.112 | 12.807 | 0.025 |
| . ${ }^{*}$. | . ${ }^{*}$ |  | 6 | 0.085 | 0.213 | 13.138 | 0.041 |
| . ${ }^{*}$. \| | . \|. | |  | 7 | 0.144 | -0.011 | 14.110 | 0.049 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| . 1. | ** |  | 8 | -0.025 | -0.244 | 14.140 | 0.078 |
| .*) \| | . 1. |  | 9 | -0.107 | 0.019 | 14.725 | 0.099 |
| . 1. | . ${ }^{*}$. |  | 10 | -0.058 | 0.074 | 14.900 | 0.136 |
| . 1. | . 1. |  | 11 | -0.036 | -0.017 | 14.971 | 0.184 |
| . 1. | . 1. |  | 12 | 0.001 | -0.038 | 14.971 | 0.243 |
| . 1. | . 1. |  | 13 | 0.072 | -0.016 | 15.279 | 0.290 |
| . 1. | . 1. |  | 14 | 0.011 | -0.031 | 15.286 | 0.359 |
| . 1. | . 1. |  | 15 | -0.055 | 0.016 | 15.486 | 0.417 |
| .*\| | | . 1. |  | 16 | -0.074 | -0.052 | 15.865 | 0.462 |

Comment on the following:
i. The presence or absence of autocorrelation between the variables using the Q -statistics [3 Marks]
ii. Correlogram of the squared residuals for test hetroscedasticity from Figure 1.4.[4 Marks]

## QUESTION FIVE (15 MARKS)

Suppose the following equations were set up as a simple macroeconomic model of USA. Altogether 2 mutually dependent Y variables were simultaneously determined by 3 predetermined X variables.

$$
\begin{gathered}
Y_{1}=\gamma_{2} Y_{2}+\beta_{1} X_{1}+\beta_{2} X_{2}+\epsilon \\
\quad Y_{2}=\gamma_{1} Y_{1}+\beta_{3} X_{3}+\epsilon
\end{gathered}
$$

a) Outline how you would estimate:
i. The first equation
(2 marks)
ii. The second equation
b) Now consider a smaller simultaneous system than the one in (a) with two mutually dependent Y variables and just 1 predetermine X variable.

$$
\begin{gathered}
Y_{1}=\gamma_{2} Y_{2}+\epsilon \\
Y_{2}=\gamma_{1} Y_{1}+\beta_{1} X_{1}+\epsilon
\end{gathered}
$$

| $Y_{1}$ | $Y_{2}$ | $X_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38 | 8 | 21 |
| 27 | 15 | 17 |
| 31 | 10 | 20 |
| 21 | 18 | 14 |
| 20 | 15 | 12 |
| 43 | 6 | 24 |

Average $30 \quad 12 \quad 18$
i. Using the above data, derive the 2SLS estimate of the coefficient $\gamma_{2}$ in the first equation.
(4 marks)
ii. Using 2SLS on the second equation set up the appropriate estimating equations for $\gamma_{1}$ and $\beta_{1}$ in symbols and numbers.
(4 marks)
iii. Give reason why you couldn't solve for $\gamma_{1}$ and $\beta_{1}$ ?

## END / /

